Organization CONTRADEMARK OFFICE

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

P.O. Box 1450

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

125 02 1M 120 0004244975 FEE'E 2010 1275 MANIED FROM ZIP CODE 20314 Savada Ashlad

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYE

X 201 NFE 1 BOBI 00 02/20/10 :CORTER DELUCA FARRELL & SCHMIGT 445 BRONDHOLLOW RD STE 450 MELVILLE NY 11747-9685

IM

	UNITED STATES PATE OPAP FEB 2 2 2010	ENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	FOR PATENTS
, A	PPLICATION TO THE PRINCIPLE DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
<u> </u>	10/791,426 03/02/2004	Steven Barone	1035-2 PCT US CIP	5403
Ť	7590 02/18/2010 Peter DeLuca Carter, DeLuca, Farrell & Schmidt, LLP Suite 225 445 Broad Hollow Road		EXAMINER	
			BARTON, JEFFREY THOMAS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Melville, NY 11747		1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/791,426	BARONE, STEVEN			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joffroy T. Rarton	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jeffrey T. Barton				
The MALING DATE of this communication app	tars on the cover sheet with the co	on espondence address-			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 30 July 2009.</li> <li>A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> </ol>					
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) 🗵 No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		•			
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review			
7.   The reason(s) below:					
In a telephone conversation on 12 February 2010, Attorney Peter DeLuca confirmed that no response has been sent.					
	/Jeffrey T. Barton/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	1795			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			